Vol. X, No. 51 **HUMAN EVENTS** December 23, 1953

DEC 29 '53 LIBERTY

AMERICA — EUROPE'S HAPPY HUNTING GROUND

By WILLIS BALLINGER

THE SIGNS ARE THAT in 1955 the internationalists will attempt to trap the United States into a world government by amending the United Nations Charter.

For half a century clever Europeans have schemed to lay hands on the vast wealth of America by placing our sovereignty under an international political body. For the great majority of Americans a surrender of that sovereignty is unthinkable; but, unfortunately, few of us are cognizant of the effectiveness of this plot. The fact is that the intriguers have built up an army of American dupes, a propaganda machine lubricated by untold millions of dollars. In Washington they have an Administration highly suspected of having been sold on the window dressing for the betrayal of American independence, a window dressing resplendent with the spiritual garb of peace, humanitarianism and the brotherhood of man; but behind this promise lies danger.

WILL OF CECIL RHODES: The British diamond tycoon, Rhodes, dreamed of reducing the world — or as much of it as possible — to British control and the recovery of the United States for the British Crown. He left his vast fortune to provide scholarships at his Alma Mater, Oxford University, to train promising leaders to carry out his purpose. American Rhodes scholars are expected to absorb the idea that civilization must revolve around the British Crown and Empire. The "order of Rhodes" in America is small in number, but influential on public opinion — legislators, teachers, authors, editors, preachers, radio commentators. It functions generally as an elite corps of propagandists.

ANDREW CARNEGIE: Like Rhodes, Carnegie wanted to use America for the benefit of England. He made a huge fortune in America, but at the end of his life he turned dutifully towards his beloved England, leaving behind him, however, a vast propaganda machine to deprive us of our independence. In 1893 Carnegie said publicly:

> "Either England must recapture her giant child of the West or surely decline to a secondary power and then to insignificance. When we have reunion, England will be relieved of the greater portion of her national debt and of at least one half of its present heavy taxation. *EVERY KIND of property in the sceptered isle and every business interest will be doubled by reunion.* Reunion will restore to England *ownership in hundreds of millions of acres of fresh, fertile soil and boundless resources.*" (Italics supplied.)

The Carnegie propaganda machine works through the Carnegie Foundation; the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace; public "Carnegie" libraries where there are "International Mind Alcoves" for adults, and for the tots "Children's International Mind

Published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C.
Copyright 1953 by HUMAN EVENTS, INC.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Alcoves"; international study clubs and subsidized lecturers; the promotion of books, articles and pamphlets that carry the right message.

THE LEAGUE OF NATIONS: The American people repudiated the League. Nevertheless, when the true facts about the origin and purposes of World War I were dug up by eminent historians, it was learned how we had let ourselves in for what was obviously a milking operation. No sooner had the League been organized than it launched a campaign to condition our citizens to the idea of joining an international body controlled by European powers.

The League was the hope of distracted trade interests in Europe, but its designs were cleverly concealed behind a pother about loving the peoples of the globe — loving them so well that nationalistic boundaries, tariffs and immigration barriers in America would seem un-Christian and absurd. The catch words were world peace, friendship, tolerance and prosperity — just as they are today. The League made a special fuss over our children — ballot casters in the making.

This was the tragic period when the British really rolled up their sleeves for the job of recapturing their giant child of the West. They launched the Junior Red Cross, and our kids were put through courses in scientific sobbing — writing notes of consolation to foreign children. George Milsom, Director of this organization, said:

> "It does seem fairly reasonable that children who during their years of mental development have learned to sympathize with their fellows will when they have reached manhood be determined to fight for the removal of every obstacle separating them from their fellows in far-off lands."

In line with this program, the British internationalized our Girl Scouts; the girls were given a world flag and were taught at meetings to take a half-burned brand from the campfire as a symbol of world sisterhood.

1945 TO NOVEMBER 1952: In this period, international plotters with the solid backing of the New Deal ran wild in America — and all but did us in. Some highlights:

ONE — The United Nations takes the place of the old League, but operates under far more favorable circumstances. Its propaganda bills are now paid for largely by Americans and it is located inside the United States for the greater convenience of the propagandists. UN becomes an octopus for undermining American sovereignty. One powerful tentacle takes over the task of indoctrinating our school children with the idea of world citizenship. Another concentrates on wresting away from Congress its control of our tariff policies. Another works to fasten on our industries a world labor code that will scuttle the Taft-Hartley law and give our socialist labor bosses power to force a liquidation of capitalism in the United States.

Other equally powerful tentacles of the UN seek to serve up piecemeal a world political code which, our American Bar Association declares, will destroy our sacred Bill of Rights, give the President power to suspend our Constitutional guarantees, subject Ameri-

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

cans to trial before an international criminal court, and convert the Federal Government into a thorough-going socialist State.

TWO — two powerful private groups, financed chiefly by American dupes, spring into existence to promote the destruction of American sovereignty. The World Federalists — teeming with pro-Soviet sympathizers or worse — urge that UN be converted into a world government including Russia and her satellites. The Atlantic Union group, *founded by a Rhodes scholar,* proposes that the US, England, France, Holland, Belgium and Canada establish a common government for a starter.

The World Federalists work on our State legislatures. Carelessly, over 20 states pass a resolution endorsing world government — a resolution sponsored by a Rhodes scholar. Then the Veterans of Foreign Wars, the DAR and other patriotic societies wake up, and most of the State Legislatures which had endorsed world government reverse themselves. The Atlantic Union people focus their attention on Congress — and there, startling results are achieved. Four different schemes for world government, supported by a total of 38 Senators and some 150 Representatives, appear. A Congressional resolution backed by 22 Senators and over a hundred Representatives proposes to put Congress on record as favoring world federation as the "fundamental objective" of American foreign policy.

THREE — World government protagonists infiltrate important American foundations. Conspicuous example — Robert Maynard Hutchins, President of the University of Chicago, and an all-out advocate of world government, becomes Associate Director of the Ford Foundation controlling hundreds of millions.

FOUR — In the fall of 1952, U.S. Senator Guy Gillette, U.S. Representative Leroy Johnson, and a former Justice of our highest tribunal go to Canada to confer with leaders of the Canadian Parliament on Atlantic Union. The Canadian Parliament had already indorsed Atlantic Union.

SO MATTERS STOOD WHEN Ike took over. It is now clear that the internationalism which for more than fifty years has schemed to destroy our sovereignty and sap our wealth was not halted when the New Deal was turned out of office. It is closing in fast for complete victory and under the auspices of a Republican administration. These are the sad facts:

ONE: President Eisenhower believes in a world government.

TWO: His brother Dr. Milton Eisenhower, whose influence with the President is well-known, is also a firm believer in a world government.

THREE: Secretary of State Dulles is a staunch world government advocate. Two days after he was selected last fall to be Secretary of State, Dulles sent a congratulatory telegram to the Atlantic Union Congress meeting in Buffalo, praising the idea of Atlantic Union but suggesting that NATO be used as the basis for fashioning the contemplated international State.

FOUR: The Bricker amendment to the Constitution which would close the door on any treaty over-riding the Constitution, is strongly opposed by President Eisenhower.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Though this amendment is actually a product of the American Bar Association, and has been approved by a two to one vote by the Senate Committee which held hearings on it last session of Congress, the Administration blocked it from coming to a vote in the Senate. It offered a substitute known as the "Knowland amendment" — a substitute which our Bar Association voted down as inadequate.

FIVE: Before the Louisville Bar Association, Mr. Dulles admitted that through a mere treaty the Constitution could be scrapped — that power could be taken away from the States and given to Congress, power taken away from Congress and given to the Executive, or power taken away from the Federal and State Governments and given to an international body. Conceding that our national sovereignty could be destroyed through a treaty, Dulles at that time thought an amendment to the Constitution desirable. But before the Senate Committee holding hearings on the Bricker amendment Mr. Dulles opposed it — told the Senators it was not necessary as the administration had no intention of doing anything about the Genocide Pact and the Declaration of Human Rights — both denounced by our Bar Association as subverting our Bill of Rights and supplying a blueprint for world socialism. The next morning President Eisenhower cabled a congratulatory message to the Human Rights Convention in Geneva. Only a few weeks ago our representative in the UN — Mr. Lodge — voted for the Genocide Pact.

SIX: 1955 is the year when the internationalists expect to reap their reward of fifty years of propagandizing Americans. This is the year when the UN Charter will be revised. Mr. Dulles has already stated that revision of the charter offers a "great opportunity". Since Mr. Dulles *is* an advocate of world government, his characterization of the present UN Charter as "pre-atomic" and his viewing of UN Charter revisions in 1955 as affording a "great opportunity" is a clear warning that he looks forward to a revision which will commit us to some form of world government.

SEVEN: Vice-President Nixon, who has actually indorsed the Atlantic Union scheme, has picked a Senate Committee of eight Senators to report on revision of the UN Charter. Of the eight, seven are thorough-going internationalists; four have come out publicly for world government. The other is Senator Knowland, who was willing to do a job for the Administration on the Bricker Amendment. It would be hard to find a more perfectly packed committee to carry out the wishes of President Eisenhower, Dr. Milton Eisenhower and Secretary Dulles. Not even one place was allotted to a representative of the Taft-Hoover school of Americanism which speaks for the great majority of Americans and which would never consent to a betrayal of our national sovereignty.

We have, then, in our midst, a giant Trojan Horse carefully fabricated by Cecil Rhodes, Andrew Carnegie, the League of Nations, the United Nations, the World Federalists, the Atlantic Union group, and their dupes. Will ours be the fate of Troy — taken from the inside?

Willis Ballinger, noted economist and radio commentator, is author of *By Vote of the People* published in 1946.

Additional Copies: 6—1.00; 10—1.50; 50—5.50; 100—10.00; 500—15.00; 1,000—25.00. Quotations for larger quantities on request.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

HUMAN EVENTS

FRANK C. HANIGHEN, *Editor* — FRANK CHODOROV, *Associate Editor*

Volume X, No. 51 — Wednesday, December 23, 1953 — Washington, D. C.

DEC 29 '53 LIBRARY

Happy New Year and Merry Birthday: This year, we of HUMAN EVENTS are most fulsome in our good wishes, especially for our readers. For, come February, the publication will have reached the ripe old age of ten, and we are mindful of the fact that our subscribers by their warm cooperation helped it towards maturity. We could not do less than thank those who, during these years, have encouraged this voice of protest, and to wish them well during the year 1954. We hope to continue expressing what is innermost in their hearts, toward the end that the American tradition shall not be submerged in the madness of the times.

The Second Year Commences: Two developments — one good, the other bad — mark the unveiling of Administration policy during the past week. The first — the decision to put our main reliance on air power and to reduce the Army and Navy forces — is of the highest importance. Experts here believe that the Administration is now committed to the air concept of defense — that is, the Air Force will be our principal arm of defense, with the Army and Navy filling subsidiary but necessary roles. If the Joint Chiefs of Staff adhere to this concept, we shall enjoy a defense which takes advantage of our "natural genius" for air warfare, as General Fellers put it in his book *Wings for Defense* — instead of exposing us to the risks of the mass ground warfare favored by Marshall and Bradley. It is reassuring that the Administration has adopted the defense plan long advocated by Hoover and Taft. A year has been lost, it is true, while the new Defense Secretary learned the ropes. But now he has established a constructive policy. With it, as a consequence, there should come a better prospect for a balanced budget and a sound dollar.

The second development is less auspicious. It is embodied in the statement which the President issued describing the principles which will guide Congress in the coming legislative session. One is described thus: *"Present a domestic program that will give our people a guarantee that they can depend on the Administration to protect the security, the welfare and the economic stability of each individual citizen."* In no chapter of the New Deal breviary is the welfare state philosophy expressed so bluntly. At no time since Ike's first entrance into politics has there appeared such a frank approval of the enthronement of the almighty State. Did not Eisenhower in his campaign attack Statism? If so, he has now reversed himself and embraced socialism. In his statement, he has presumed to voice the attitude of congressional leaders as well as himself. Do these leaders agree? It is important to know — for the principle he invokes is fundamental.

Not Merely Gossip: The story comes back to Washington from France — that an American official sent out by the White House to inspect our foreign establishments tore down a poster of President Truman from the wall of one of the luxurious State Department places in the French capital. The official, a Republican, was enraged because he found the picture of the former President prominently displayed, but no picture at all of President Eisenhower. This sort of partiality for the Democratic President has been reported as widespread among our foreign diplomatic missions.

● Genial Joe Martin, Republican Speaker of the House of Representatives, in the past few days said that the GOP would win the House in next year's elections by a margin of 20 seats. One of his colleagues tartly remarked: "He's coming down — a month ago he claimed 40 seats margin." Quite a number of GOP veterans in the House claim that the Republicans will *lose* the House by at least 20 seats.

Published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C.
Copyright 1953 by HUMAN EVENTS, INC.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

The reason: the me-too program of the Ike "liberals" drives the usually militant conservative voters away from the polls. In the much-touted Wisconsin by-election last month, for example, it is now believed by many that this "liberal" attitude, shown by the GOP candidate, caused a very large bloc of voters to "sit on their hands". The Democrat won in a contest which brought forth a very small number of voters.

● Sir Winston Churchill, British premier, whose last book of war memoirs is a best seller, is reported to have stopped — by official order — the publication of some volumes of the British official naval history of the war. The talk around Whitehall is that the official accounts of certain events (written by naval historians) differ with the version of the same events in Churchill's latest book. Whether or not the official British naval history will be changed and how long its publication will be delayed cannot at this moment be determined.

Meanwhile in the Pentagon here, there are some officials still disgruntled by work they were ordered by the White House to perform in checking facts and digging American historical material for Churchill's journalistic uses. Some make acid comments, asking why the American taxpayer should have to foot the bill for these tasks executed for a foreign political leader who naturally wants to justify his policies in his published works.

● Watch for a clean-out campaign by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) in the radio field. For the first time, a majority of Republicans control that key agency and they are conscious that there have been many strange things and people in this particular field in the past decade. One file is that of a radio station owner who has a long record of friendship for and association with pro-Communist organizations and causes. The record has been aired in debate in Congress — and by Democrats — in past sessions, but nothing was done about this matter. The man is listed as a big contributor to the Democratic Party treasury.

Patriotic Action: What a very small group of patriots can do if aroused by international tyranny was seen last week when the Secretaries of State, Defense and the Army were directed by Federal Judge Pine to appear in District Court in Washington to contest a writ which might conceivably free an American GI from a French jail.

Judge Pine called these officials to appear (at a date as yet unannounced) to show cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued which would return a certain Private Keefe to this country. Keefe was tried and convicted in a French court and sent to a French jail under sentence for five years because he had stolen a French taxi. (It is pointed out that about the same time a Frenchman murdered an American soldier and was sent to jail for the same term.) The petition cites the NATO agreement which permits foreign courts to try American military personnel charged with a crime in a foreign nation. And the petition charges that the Secretaries of Defense, State and Army "conspired" with the French Government to imprison Keefe in the interest of improving relations with that country. Last summer, Senator Bricker sought to block an Eisenhower-backed treaty which made such things possible.

The filing of the brief, by Keefe's wife, took place through the help of the Defenders of the American Constitution, Inc., a non-profit organization, whose President is Lt. Gen. P. A. del Valle, United States Marine Corps (retired). Vice-President of the organization is Eugene Pomeroy and the Secretary, the prominent Washington Attorney, Col. John H. Coffman. Col. Coffman said: "It is unthinkable that American boys, drafted by the Armed Services, and assigned to duty in a foreign country, should be abandoned to an antagonistic local judiciary, resentful even of the presence of our protective troops on their soil. This, in effect, denies the protection guaranteed by our Constitution to every American citizen, to those who by reason of the fact that they were wearing their country's uniform, are most deserving of it." Coffman warned, "We plan to take the case to the public, using every possible means to inform the American people, and arouse a national protest on the matter." And he added: "The people must realize how attempts are being made to circumscribe our Constitution through purported treaty law, with possible danger to every American family."

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

British Trade: Diplomatic observers here marvel at the prevalent opinion in many American circles that Britain and Western European countries must have greatly expanded trade with Russia and its satellites, in order to live. There has been a suspicion in more sophistocated circles that British propaganda is up to its age-old habit of "bargaining in the middle" (this time, between Russia and the U.S.) and that the trade situation is just a counter in this game. But for the most part, "liberal" organs and many business publications adopt the attitude that there is something foreordained about political appeasement of the Reds (such as acceptance of Red China into the UN) because all-out East-West trade is "inevitable".

A little-noticed document, the 1953 Report of the General Council of British Trade Unions offers a sharp counterpoise to the above attitude. Such trade, said the report, "cannot be expanded enough in the forseeable future to make Britain independent of imports from the dollar countries or to enable us to master our balance of payment problems", and added that "East-West trade has often been a propaganda issue rather than a business proposition". The Council reminds its members that East-West trade cannot be a one-way street, that the Red states have put embargoes on Western goods and that they might not relax such embargoes even if Britain should be willing to trade quite freely with them. Because the official goal of the Red area is self-sufficiency, it should suggest (the report points out) that in the long run the market for British goods might decrease rather than increase.

In the U.S. these days, when a sort of "rah-rah" spirit for what is called "free trade" is in the air (fanned by some powerful propaganda bellows), such sober appraisals as the above, particularly coming from a British socialist organization, should give pause to businessmen assailed on all sides by heavily financed propaganda for lowering American tariff barriers, regardless of whether foreign trade barriers still stand.

Harry Dexter White: Whittaker Chambers, author of *Witness*, has scored again with an excellent piece in *Look* magazine (December 29) on the spy who was a Treasury official — containing some interesting hitherto unpublished material, from Chambers's own storehouse of knowledge of the Great Conspiracy. The piece also hammers home the significance of the White role in the history of our times:

"Harry Dexter White was the Treasury Department's representative on some eighteen interdepartmental and international committees and commissions, from the Board of Economic Welfare to the Advisory Board of the Office of Strategic Services. It seems unlikely that any other secret agent in history ever gathered such wide powers. Later on, White was also to be the organizer of the Bretton Woods Conference (which set up the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund) just as Alger Hiss was to preside at the organizing conference of the United Nations at San Francisco. Thus, at each of the two great international planning conferences, the chief representative of the United States Government was also an agent of the Soviet Government."

Between Covers: "The Bricker Amendment and the Treaty Power", by Donald R. Richberg, published in the Virginia Law Review, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va., October, 1953 number. Mr. Richberg sums up the issue thus: "In a word, should the constitutional Government of the United States be preserved, or should the people of the United States by inaction consent to the gradual destruction of our form of government and the gradual but sure substitution of international lawmaking to govern the people of the United States?"

● "Primer on 'Treaty Law' and the Bricker Amendment", a new pamphlet by Frank E. Holman, past president of the American Bar Association, containing a section of "Questions and Answers". In the Appendix it is stated that 8 legislatures have passed resolutions favoring a Constitutional Amendment on "Treaty Law". They are California, Colorado, Georgia, Idaho, Oregon, Montana, Texas and Wyoming. "Action by State Legislatures has been spontaneous — as yet no real effort has been made to secure such approval", says Mr. Holman. (For copies write Frank E. Holman, Hoge Bldg., Seattle, Wash.)

● "UN Judgment Day", a pamphlet by Alice Widener, well known for her critical writings on the UN. This pamphlet (28 pages) deals with the effort of the "American dismissed employees of the UN Secretariat, against whom there was presumptive evidence", presented by a Federal Grand Jury and the

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Jenner Senate Committee, of disloyalty to the U.S. Pamphlet published by the American Coalition, 1025 Connecticut Avenue, Washington 6, D.C. (Single copies, $.20 each.)

●

Our Secret Allies: The Peoples of Russia. By Eugene Lyons. Boston: Little, Brown & Co. $4.50. Reviewed by Frank Chodorov.

Eugene Lyons has written a book about the obvious. But, because the obvious is so often obfuscated in the political arena, the book is enlightening and refreshing. It serves to bring home a simple fact that our international "experts" are prone to overlook in their efforts at ingenuity, namely, that the people of Russia are a conquered people, that they resent their masters, and would welcome any help from the outside toward revolution.

Every bit of knowledge that comes to us from behind the iron curtain supports that thesis. Eugene Lyons recounts this evidence in detail, going back to the so-called "revolution" of 1917 (which was nothing but a coup d'etat engineered by a handful of bolsheviks), and on through the sequence of purges, the enslavement of labor, the butchery of the peasants, the welcome of Hitler in the first stages of the war. It all adds up to one conclusion: the Russians have been in a state of constant revolt; they have never accepted their conquerors.

Volumes have been written about the purges. Yet these periodic, almost continuous, mass murders only add up to the obvious fact that the masters of the Kremlin have been under constant pressure to suppress dissidence. Reports of sabotage have come through from time to time, even official reports; but did the workers destroy the machinery or lay down on the job out of sheer cussedness or because of resentment? Perhaps Stalin was a pathological murderer; yet the careful selection of his victims — kulaks, intelligentsia, possible rivals — shows that fear directed his sadism. The final proof that the Russians hate their masters was provided during the war, when millions of Russians deserted to the Germans, welcomed them as deliverers, or, whenever the opportunity presented itself, fled from their native land to some haven that promised freedom.

In its struggle against Communist, not Russian, Imperialism, the opposing nations of the world, and especially the United States, must take this prime fact of Russian internal conditions into account. Our most potent ally is the Russian people. Since military conquest of the vast Eurasian empire is impossible, the overthrow of the Kremlin regime by its own slaves should be the focal point of our strategy. The effective atom bomb to detonate is lodged in the hearts of these people.

Yet, in the cold war now being waged, our strategists seem to be completely oblivious of this simple and potential opportunity. In the vain hope that some formula of co-existence with a power-mad Genghis Khan can be worked out, our diplomats deal with him, rather than with his natural enemy. It should be obvious now, after an experience covering a third of a century, that there is no such formula, that one cannot do business with the monster that walks like a man and talks like a Marxist. Either he must be destroyed or he will destroy us. And the means at our disposal, the peoples of Russia, must be employed to do the job.

It must be discouraging to these peoples to see us consorting with their enemy. They know, if we do not, that Vishinsky is not to be bargained with; his mission in life is to destroy not to build. They know, if we do not, that Malenkov, like Stalin before him, has no sense of morals. They know, if we do not, that the world will be safe only when Russia is safe, and that will be when the Communist regime is liquidated.

Eugene Lyons brings to his task not only an intimate knowledge of Russia — its peoples and the ruling clique — but also a literary style that carries conviction. The "liberal" press has so far given it little notice — but it will be read.

Entered as second class matter at the Post Office, Washington, D. C. Quotation up to a paragraph is permitted with credit to HUMAN EVENTS. For reproduction or more extensive quotation address requests to HUMAN EVENTS, 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C. Trial Subscription, three months for $3. Six months, $5.50. One year, $10. Two years, $18. First class mail, one year, $11.00; six months, $6.00. Domestic airmail (including APO and FPO) one year, $12.00; foreign (regular mail) one year, $11. Foreign airmail rates on request. Please remit in U.S.A. DOLLARS. Allow two weeks for new subscription or change of address to become effective.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED